

3335-23-14 Committee on academic misconduct.

- (A) The coordinator for the committee shall investigate or establish procedures for investigation of all reported cases of student academic misconduct that fall under the committee's jurisdiction. The committee does not hear cases involving academic misconduct in professional colleges having a published honor code. These colleges shall follow their own codes and procedures which can be obtained in their respective central offices. Some allegations against graduate students that fall under the committee's jurisdiction may also implicate the university's interim policy and procedures concerning misconduct in research or scholarly activities and/or the graduate school's "Guidelines for the Review and Investigation of Allegations of Scholarly Misconduct by Graduate Students." Upon receipt of such an allegation, the coordinator shall meet with the dean of the graduate school or designee, and/or the senior vice president for research or designee, and these parties shall mutually agree on the appropriate procedure for adjudicating the case. Notice of this decision and a description of the procedure to be used shall promptly be given to the student who has been charged. The coordinator or committee may refer complaints to the office of student judicial affairs if it is determined that the academic misconduct allegation is incidental to some other misconduct.
- (B) The committee on academic misconduct is constituted according to rule 3335-5-48.7 of the Administrative Code.
- (C) All complaints of academic misconduct shall be reported to the coordinator of the committee.
- (D) Students have an obligation to report suspected misconduct.
- (E) A quorum for a hearing shall be no fewer than four voting members of the committee which shall include no fewer than one student member and two faculty members.

For cases involving graduate students, reasonable efforts will be made to have graduate students serve as the student members of the hearing committee. (B/T 3/2/2001, B/T 7/7/2006)

3335-23-15 Student conduct boards for university housing.

The boards may only hear only those cases that occur within university housing, whether committed by residents or nonresidents. The boards are composed of students living in university housing, and may initiate any sanction with the exception of suspension or dismissal. If it appears during the hearing, to the board or to the board advisor, that the violation may be serious enough to warrant suspension or dismissal, the board will adjourn and refer the case back to the hearing officer for referral to the office of student judicial affairs. (B/T 3/2/2001, B/T 12/7/2007)

3335-23-16 University judicial panel.

The university judicial panel is responsible for adjudicating allegations of non-academic misconduct referred by the director of student judicial affairs, except for cases involving violations of professional college codes. The panel consists of:

- (A) Fifteen faculty and/or administrative members recommended by the director of student judicial affairs to the vice president for student affairs for three-year terms which begin with the autumn quarter;

- (B) Twelve undergraduate student members, appointed by the undergraduate student government;
- (C) Six graduate student members, appointed by the council of graduate students;
- (D) Two professional student members, appointed by the inter-professional council; and
- (E) The director of student judicial affairs or designee shall serve as panel coordinator ex-officio without vote.
- (F) A quorum for a hearing shall be no fewer than four voting members of the panel which shall include no fewer than two student members.

All student appointments shall be for two-year terms staggered, beginning in the autumn quarter. Six of the undergraduate student members, three of the graduate student members, and one of the professional student members shall be appointed in odd-numbered years, with the remainder appointed in even-numbered years. To be eligible for appointment, a student must possess a minimum 2.5 cumulative grade point average and be under no current disciplinary sanction from the university. The director of student judicial affairs may remove university judicial panel members for cause, including but not limited to, not attending training, repeated absences, violating the code of student conduct or other applicable laws or policies, or not responding to repeated attempts at communication. Notification shall be made in writing to the university judicial panel member prior to removal, whenever possible. (B/T 3/2/2001, B/T 12/7/2007)